

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.		Applicant(s)		
	10/699,450		BHAGAVATULA ET AL		
	Examiner		Art Unit		
	Omar Rojas		2874		

All Participants:

(1) Omar Rojas.

(2) Adenike Adebiji.

Date of Interview: 13 April 2006

Type of Interview:

☒ Telephonic

☐ Video Conference

☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☒ No

If Yes, provide a brief description:

Status of Application: Pending

(3) _____

(4) _____

Time: _____

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:
N/A.

Claims discussed:
1, 4, and 5.

Prior art documents discussed:
U.S. Patent No. 5,638,471 to Semo et al.

Part II.


SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

Examiner Rojas proposed an amendment to claims 1, 4, and 5 to further distinguish these claims from the Semo patent, previously made of record. The changes that were agreed to have been incorporated into an Examiner's amendment attached hereto


Part III.

☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.

☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.



John D. Lee
Primary Examiner



(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)

(Examiner/SPE Signature)